KAUPANGR-PRÁNDHEIMR-NIDARÓSS: ON THE DATING OF THE OLD NORSE KINGS' SAGAS

Kari Ellen Gade Indiana University

In connection with the 1929 debate about the possible change of the official name of the city of Trondheim to Nidaros, Didrik Arup Seip, joined by a team of scholars from Norway and Iceland, launched a massive investigation that traced the different names of the city in written sources from the 11th century onwards. The results of their efforts were published by Seip in the monograph "Trondhjems bynavn" (1930), and can be summarized as follows:

1. In foreign and native documents from the 11th century and the first half of the 12th century, the city was known as *Prándheimr* (P), Kaupangr i Prándheimi (K) or

Prándheimskaupangr (17, 37, 46).

2. The name *Niŏaróss* (N), which originated as a geographical location (*Niŏar óss* 'the mouth of Niŏ' [18]) first occurs as a proper name in a papal letter from 1172-3, and after 1189 N is the official name of the city in all ecclesiastical documents (26-7).

3. Old Norse laws and literature from the 12th century reflect that change: in works that originated before 1180-90 or drew on sources written before 1180-90, the name of the city is K or P, whereas works written after that period also use the name N, especially in connection with the archdiocese (41-54).

4. In 13th-century literature K, P, and N are all used as names of the city. Whereas K practically disappears after 1250, N is a characteristic of 13th-century texts, although the name occurs most frequently in connection with ecclesiastical affairs. Towards the end of the

century. D is used more often as the name of the city (55-77).

Seip traced the change of the offical name that took place around 1172 to archbishop Eysteinn (1161-88) and to the remodeling of the cathedral that was initiated in 1152 (40). According to him, both K and P were unsuitable names for the city: K is a common noun, and P was also the name of the district (Trøndelag) and the fjord (Trondheimsfjorden) (*ibid.*). His examination of Scandinavian and foreign documents shows that P is not used as the official name of the city until 1172. The question is, then, to what extent the change is reflected in 12th- and early 13th-century literature, and whether the presence or absence of P, K, and P can be used as a criterion for establishing the dates of such texts and of their sources.

Seip's examination of 12th- and early 13th-century literary texts focused on the Old Norse kings' sagas (including Theodoricus's Latin compendium) (41-65). He concluded that the name N does not occur in sagas predating 1170 (54) and that, when later authors and compilers of the kings' sagas drew on written sources, they tended to retain the place names of their exemplars (52, 57-65). In Agrip, for example, which is generally believed to have been compiled around 1190 (Agrip x-xi), both K and N refer to the city of Trondheim. According to Seip (52), the first name occurs in those parts of the text which are based on older written sources, whereas the author uses N when he draws on contemporary ecclesiastical tradition from the archdiocese. Seip (57-64) also examined the occurrences of N in Morkinskinna (Msk), Fagrskinna (Fsk), and Heimskringla (Hkr). As far as Msk is concerned, he counted ten occurrences of the name N. According to him, N is either found in sections that are later interpolations (7 times), and or it derives from a written exemplar ("Pingasaga"; Seip 1930:59).

Owing to the scope and the purpose of his work, Seip's discussion of the three royal compendia is brief. Furthermore, his conclusions are skewed by the then current state of the art of scholarship on the kings' sagas. The monograph itself has received little attention, and the implications of Seip's observations have not been pursued by later scholars. It is the purpose of the present study, then, to examine the use of the names P, N, and K in the earliest royal compendium, namely Msk, and to try shed light on one of the most vexing questions in Msk scholarship, namely, to what extent the author drew on earlier written sources in his narrative.

I. The Textual Transmission of Morkinskinna

Msk is preserved in a MS (GKS 1009 fol.) from around 1270. The MS is incomplete. It chronicles the lives of the kings of Norway from Magnús góði (1035) to the death of Eysteinn Haraldsson (1157), but it is possible that the original work continued down to the accession of

Sverrir Sigurðarson in 1177. Scholars agree that an older, no longer extant, version of *Msk* ("eldste Morkinskinna" [ÆMsk]) from around 1220 served as the source for both Snorri and the author of *Fsk* (*Hkr* iii-viii; Louis-Jensen 1977:66-70), but little is known about the possible written sources of that work. The author did use a version of Eirfkr Oddsson's *Hryggjarstykki (*Hrygg, from before 1170; see Bjarni Guðnason 1978), and the text also makes reference to "Jarlasogur" (FJ 31)—generally believed to refer to a no longer extant version of *Orkneyinga saga* (Bjarni Aðalbjarnarson 1937:151-2)—and to a saga about Knútr Sveinsson of Denmark (FJ 293). Finnur Jónsson (FJ xxxviiii) believed that most of ÆMsk was based separate royal biographies that also were available to the author of *Fsk* and to Snorri. That view has found little support in later research (see Bjarni Aðalbjarnarson 1937:168-73, but many scholars are reluctant to reject out of hand the possibility that at least some separate sagas could have been available to the author of ÆMsk (*ibid.* 169-73; *Hkr* vi).

The royal biographies in the extant version of Msk (MskMS) contain a number of pættir and anecdotes that are not found in Hkr or Fsk, and, moreover, it is clear that the work has been interpolated from a version of Agrip (Indrebø 1917:22-30; Bjarni Aðalbjarnarson 1937:139-45). Most scholars believe that some, if not all, of the pættir are later additions, but the extent of interpolation is debated (see Bjarni Aðalbjarnarson 1937:154-9; Louis-Jensen 1977:77-8). Most of the pættir and anecdotes are also incorporated into the Msk text of the 14th-century Hulda (H) and Hrokkinskinna (Hr) (deriving from a lost archetype *H; see Louis-Jensen 1977:13-5), and in the Msk version of "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" in Flateyjarbók (Flat). Because these works used a version of Msk that predated MskMS, it is clear that, if the extraneous material was not part of ÆMsk, the interpolation must have occurred at a stage between that version and MskMS (Msk2; see Louis-Jensen 1977:72)

II. The Use of the Place Names as a Source of Dating

If Seip's observations are correct, we would expect the city of Trondheim to be called K or P in those parts of the MS that were based on written sources predating 1180-90. Conversely, such sections would be characterized by the absence of the name N except when it designates the geographical location (the mouth of Ni δ). Unfortunately, the matter is not all that simple. First of all, the author of EMsk could have changed the names in accordance with contemporary use. Secondly, the names could have been changed in the course of the textual transmission—that is, either by the scribe/interpolator responsible for Msk2, or by the two scribes of MskMS. Although a comparison with the texts of the later versions of Hkr, Fsk, Flat and H-Hr obviates the second obstacle to some extent (with Hkr and Fsk representing the text of EMsk and the later compendia representing the text of Msk2), we cannot exclude the possibility of authorial changes at the stage of EMsk. If that were the case, however, we should expect a uniform distribution of the names in keeping with early 13th-century practice.

An additional problem is that MskMS contains several lacunae (see Louis-Jensen 1977:78-82). Sometimes the original text cannot be restored, but because Flat follows the Msk text fairly closely, the Flat version of "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" has been used in the present study to supply the missing text (indicated by an asterisk FJ *14-70, *141-8, *169-77). Again, the texts

of Fsk, Hkr, and H-Hr must serve as a corrective.

III. "Haralds saga ok Magnúss"

This is the longest saga in Msk and it contains most of the *pættir* and anecdotes. There are no examples of the name K, but N occurs the following nine times:

 *FJ 20: Peir Magnús fóru nú út til kaupbæjarins í Niðarós. Hkr: Magnús Óláfsson fór með liði sínu út til Kaupangs (9). FmS VI, 24: út til Niðaróss.

 *FJ 20: síðan fær hann sér hirðar ok skipa ok sezk nú í Niðarós með miklu fjolmenni ok allskyns blíðu ok gleði.

Fsk: Var þá Magnús til konungs tekinn ok fekk sér hirð ok skip (209).

Hkr: Síðan tók Magnús sér hirð ok gerði lenda menn (9).

FmS VI, 24: tók hann sér hirð, ok sat þar í bænum með mikilli gleði ok skemtan ok fjolmenni miklu.

*FJ 37: Síðan fór Magnús konungr aptr til Nóregs ok sat þar um vetrinn í Niðarósi ok veitti þar jól sín.

Fsk: Magnús konungr var um vetrinn (Nóregi (220).

Hkr: Magnús konungr liði sínu norðr í Nóreg ok dvalðisk par um vetrinn (38).

FmS VI, 53-4: Síðan um haustit fór Magnús konungr aptr til Nóregs, ok allt norðr með landi, kom hann norðr til Þrándheims litlu fyrir jól, ok sat í Niðarósi um vetrinn.

4. *FJ 147: Síðan fara þeir Einarr norðr til Niðaróss.

Fsk: Einarr pambarskelfir með Drændaher fór með líki Magnúss konungs norðr til Niðaróss (249).

Hkr. Einarr Þambarskelfir fór með líki Magnúss konungs ok með honum allr Prændaherr ok fluttu til Niðaróss (107).

FmS VI, 235: norðr til Drándheims.

Ágrip: En lík hans var fært norðr í Þrándheim ok nið(r) sett í Kristskirkju, þar sem faðir hans hvílir (37).

 *FJ 171:Haraldr konungr lét gøra Máríukirkju norðr í Niðarósi, ok stóð þar þá altarit sem nú eru graðrnar hjá Kristskirkju (=Fsk 262).

FJ 171: Hann lagði þar til próvendur miklar ok hann efldi mjok kaupstaðinn í Niðarósi.
 Esk: ok lagði til próvendur <miklar. ok> hann efldi ok staðinn miok norðr í Niðarósi (262).

7. FI 194: Ok eptir þessa veizlu ferr konungr til Niðaróss ok sitt þar.

FmS VI, 348: norör til Prándheims, ok settisk í Niðarósi.

Flat III. 355: ok síðan ferr konungr heim.

8. FJ 201: Hann kenn nú eitthvert sumar skipi sínu *norðr í Niðarós* ok var Haraldr konungr í bænum (= *Flat* III, 357)

FmS VI, 356; norðr við Prándheim ok lagði skipi sínu inn í Niðarós; þá var Haraldr konungr í bænum.

9. FJ 283-4; ok skeytti honum jarðir austr við Konungahellu ok við Ósló, við Túnsberg, ok norðr við Niðarós (= FmS VI, 432; Flat III, 399; Hkr 198)

Fsk: Nú bað konungr hann nefna þær jarðir, > er hann vildi þiggja, ok svá gørði hann, nefndi sumar í Vík austr, [sumar á Horðalandi,] sumar í Prándheimi (296).

In ##1 and 6, N refers to the geographical location and not to the city itself ("kaupbæjarinn í Niðarósi"; "kaupstaðinn í Níðarósi"). In both cases we are dealing with the Flat text, but as far as #6 is concerned, Fsk secures the presence of the name in ÆMsk. That is also the case in #5, where N is used about the city in an ecclesiastical context. Both ##5 and 6 occur in a section of the text that was written after 1172. Not only does it reveal local knowledge about the city itself, but it also presupposes the move of Mariekirken from Trondheim to Elgeseter: "Harald konungr lét gøra Máríukirkju norðr í Niðarósi, ok stóð þar þá altarit sem nú eru graðrnar hjá Kristskirkju" (*FJ 171). Because archbishop Eysteinn was responsible for the demolition of Mariekirken and the subsequent move of that church (Hkr 121), this section cannot have been copied from a text predating his office.

Similar information is provided by the passage that contains #4: "Síðan fara þeir Einarr norðr til Niðaróss... Líkit var jarðat at Kristskirkju fyrir utan kór, en nú er þat innan kórs fyrir rúmi erkibyskups" (*FJ 147). Fsk (249), too, contains some of that information ("...norðr til Niðaróss ok jarðaði hann at Kristskirkju fyrir utan kórinn"), and we must assume it was part of ÆMsk. The clause "en nú er þat innan kórs fyrir rúmi erkibyskops" refers to the remodeling of Kristkirken that was closely connected with the new name of the archbishopric. Again, Fsk

shows that we are not dealing with a later interpolation.

In ##2, 3, and 7, N is used as the name of the city as a royal residence. The name always occurs with the verbs sitja or setjask ("sezk nú í Niðarós," "sat þar um vetrinn í Niðarós," "ferr... til Niðaróss ok sitr þar"). None of the phrases is recorded in Fsk or Hkr, but the text of H-Hr shows that, in ##3 and 7, the name must have been part of Msk2. All three sentences are found in narrative "notches," that is, they conclude one part of the narrative and set the stage for a new episode. As far as #2 is concerned, Fsk (209) follows the Msk text verbatim but omits the last part of the sentence, and we cannot know whether that clause is a later addition. #3 concludes the episode in which Sveinn Úlfsson swears allegiance to Magnús Óláfsson. The sentence is appended to a small extraneous section (a description of Jylland) which is omitted in Fsk (222) and Hkr (38). It is unclear whether that passage was part of ÆMsk or interpolated in Msk2 (cf. FmS VI, 53). That is also the case in #4, which rounds off the Páttr of Úlfr enn auðgi

of Oppland and relocates Haraldr harðráði in Trondheim, the stage of the next episode ("Brands páttr qrva)." Neither of these pættir is included in Fsk or Hkr, and the place name N must have been added by the person who included the two episodes in the Msk narrative. Whether that was the author of ÆMsk or the interpolator of Msk2 cannot be ascertained at this point.

#8 is found in the pattr of Porvaror krakunef and is included in Flat and H-Hr (=Msk2). The wording suggests that we are dealing with the geographical location (cf. FmS VI, 357: "ok lagði skipi sínu inn í Niðarós"). It is impossible to know whether the pattr was part of ÆMsk. That is not the case with #9, however. Based on the wording of Fsk, which has "í Prándheimi" (296), Seip (1930:59) maintained that the sentence in Msk had been changed by a later interpolator. He failed to notice that Snorri follows the Msk text almost verbatim ("norðr við Niðaróss"; 198), which secures that reading for ÆMsk. Furthermore, the sentence occurs in a passage that traces the genealogy of Skúli "Tóstason" to Skúli jarl Bárðarson. Because Skúli Bárðarson received the title of jarl in 1217 and became duke in 1237, this section is generally used to establish a date post quem—ante quem for the composition of ÆMsk (Bjarni Aðalbjarnarson 1937:136). Thus the phrase "norðr við Niðarós" must be attributed to the author of ÆMsk, and the juxtaposition of N to the cities Kungälv, Oslo, and Tønsberg, shows that the name refers to the city of Trondheim and not to the geographical location.

The name *P* occurs ten times in the *Msk* version of "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" (FJ *20, *24, *25²,*43², 111, *148, 153, 155). In four cases it refers to the district (FJ *20, *24, *25, *148), and in one sentence to Trondheimsfjorden (*25: "út ór Prándheimi"; *Hkr* has "út eptir firði" [25]). Twice the name is used about the city in an ecclesiastical context:

*FJ 43: þá er þú heyrir hringt Gloð norðr í Prándheimi.

*FJ 43: Ok í því bili heyrðu allir klukknahljóð upp í himininn yfir sik, ok kennir Magnús konungr ok allir Norðmenn at þat hljóð var sem í Gloð norðr í Prándheimi.

The sentences occur in the episode that describes St. Óláfr's intervention before the battle of Lyrskovshede, and only the second sentence is incorporated into Fsk and Hkr: "Litlu síðarr heyrðu þeir allir upp í loptit sem klukku hringdi, ok þóttusk allir Norðmenn kenna hljóðit, at Glǫð hringdi norðr í Niðarósi" (221); "Pá heyrði allt fólk í lopt upp klukkuhljóð, ok kenndu Magnúss konungs menn, þeir er verit hǫfðu í Níðarósi, at svá þótti sem Glǫð væri hringt. Þá klukku hafði Óláfr konungr gefit til Clemenskirkju í Kaupangi" (43). The Fsk text shows that the phrase "Clemenskirkju í Kaupangi" was Snorri's addition. Both Fsk and Hkr use the name N, and it is not clear which name was recorded in Emsk. If N was part of that version, however, it is difficult to see why it would have been changed by a later scribe who must have been familiar with the ecclesiastical use of N. It is more likely that P was the name recorded in Emsk, and that it was changed by Snorri and the author of Emsk. If that were the case, it would suggest that Emsk at this point followed an older, written account—perhaps connected with an early tradition of Óláfr's miracles.

In the remaining four cases it is not clear whether p refers to the district Trøndelag or to the city itself:

- FJ 111: kom Porsteinn utan ok hefir stoöhross ágæta góð. Kómu norðr í Prándheim, ok styggðusk menn nú við Porstein.
- *FJ 148: Sem Haraldr konung: kemr aptr norðr í þrándheim, stefnir hann Eyraþing (= FmS VI, 233; Fsk 250).
- FJ 153: fóru... ok til Prándheims, er á líðr sumarit.
 FmS VI, 247: fóru norðr til Prándheims, er á leið sumarit, ok sat konungr í Kaupangi um vetrinn.
- 4. FI 155; síðan er þeir skildusk í Prándheimi (= FmS VI, 251).
- ##1, 3, and 4 occur in pættir, but the Fsk text shows that #2 was part of ÆMsk. The name K (#3) in H-Hr is puzzling, and it is possible that the last part of the sentence was deleted in MskMS. The first three sentences are connected with travel and contain verbs of motion (fara, koma).

To sum up: The MskMS version of "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" contains no examples of K as the name of the city of Trondheim. Once Hkr has K where the Msk version has "kaupbær í Niðarósi." That change could have been made by Snorri, but is is also possible that Hkr reflects the wording of EMsk. In contrast, N is used six times to refer to the city. Sometimes it is difficult to establish whether the name was used in EMsk or whether it is a later interpolation (##7-8, above). The comparison of the texts of MskMS, Hkr, and Fsk does show, however, that N was used as the name of the city in this part of EMsk. Occasionally, N occurs in passages that can be connected with events that took place after 1172, such as the ongoing remodeling of EMsk is the move of EMsk, and the jarldom of EMsk is EMsk. Occasionally, EMsk in events that took place after 1172, such as the ongoing remodeling of EMsk is EMsk. Occasionally, EMsk in an ecclesiastical and in a secular context.

N is also used about the royal residence in the set phrase "sitja í Niðarósi." Sentences that contain this phrase always occur at the junction between two episodes, and the question of whether N here can be traced to the author of ÆMsk must remain open: the corresponding

passages in Flat and H-Hr show that the name was part of Msk2.

P is used unambiguously to refer to the city twice, both times in connection with St. Óláfr's miracles during the battle of Lyrskovshede. If the phrase "norðr í Prándheimi" indeed were part of ÆMsk, the author could have relied on an older written account for this part of the narrative.

IV. "Óláfs saga kyrra"

In this saga, K occurs twice as the name of the city of Trondheim, once in an 11th-century skaldic stanza from Steinn Herdísarson's "Óláfsdrápa" ("sóknstrangr í Kaupangi"; FJ 286), and once in an ecclesiastical context: "Var mark gort um þessa .iii. langfeðga Harald konung, Óláf konung ok Magnús konung berfætt á Máríukirkju norðr í Kaupangi, þeiri er Haraldr konungr lét gøra (FJ 291=Fsk 301; Hkr 230; FmS VII, 64). Snorri rearranges the narrative and places this section in "Magnúss saga berfætts," but Fsk follows Msk verbatim and must reflect the text of ÆMsk. The passage must be old, because it contains information that predates the destruction of Mariekirken instigated by archbishop Eysteinn. The section is not found in Ágrip, and it is

possible that the author of ÆMsk drew on an older written source.

N is also used twice in "Óláfs saga kyrra." In the first case, the name occurs in an interpolation from Ágrip: "Hann lét reisa steinkirkju at byskupsstólinum í Niðarósi yfir líkama ens helga Óláfs konungs" (FJ 291); "Hann gerði upp steinkirkju at byskupsstólinum í Niðarósi yfir likam ens helga Olafs konungs" (40). In the second instance, N refers to the geographical location: "Pá settisk sá kaupstaðr í Nóregi, er einn er vegsamligastr þeira er áðr er getit at fráteknum kaupstað í Niðarósi, ok er sjá kaupbær kallaðr í Bjorgyn. Gørðisk þar brátt mikit setr auðigra manna..." (FJ 289). The Msk text is quite awkward, and Seip (1930:59, 62) maintained that the phrase "at fráteknum kaupstað í Niðarósi" is a later interpolation. However, the Fsk text shows that, if the phrase indeed was interpolated, it must have been interpolated in ÆMsk and not at a later stage in the Msk transmission: "Pá settisk sá staðr í Nóregi, er einn er vegsamligstr ok fyrr var getit, Niðaróss. Kaupstaðrinn í Bjorgvin gørðisk þar brátt mikill ok setr auðigra manna" (299). The Fsk reading is clearly a corrupt rendering of the corresponding place in Msk, and unless we assume that N was interpolated independently in both versions, the name must have come from Fsk's exemplar. Furthermore, it is clear that the phrase "er áðr er getit" in Msk refers to the cities listed at the end of "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" (Kungälv, Oslo, and Tønsberg; FJ 284). The fact that Fsk (296) at that point changed the text of ÆMsk and listed the districts and not the cities, explains the syntactic incoherence of the sentence above: the Fsk author started to copy the passage from ÆMsk in "Óláfs saga kyrra," but realized that the cities "mentioned previously" were indeed not mentioned in his narrative, and he abbreviated the sentence accordingly. If the section in "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" was composed by the author of ÆMsk, it follows that the same person was at work in "Óláfs saga kyrra," and that he inserted the information about Bergen in that saga.

The phrase "kaupstaðr í Niðarósi" recalls "kaupbær í Niðarósi" and "kaupstaðinn í Níðarósi" in "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" (*FI 20, *171). These phrases could have been copied from an earlier, written exemplar, but it is also possible that they came from the pen of the author of EMsk. However that may be, it seems that both the author of Fsk and Snorri were reluctant to use the words kaupstaðr or kaupbær for the city of Trondheim. Consider the corresponding places in Fsk and Hkr: "út til kaupbæjarins í Niðarósi" (*FI 20), "út til Kaupangs" (Hkr 8);

"kaupstaðinn í Niðarósi" (*FJ 171), "staðinn... norðr í Niðarósi" (Fsk 262); "kaupstað í

Niðarósi" (FJ 289), "staðr... Niðaróss" (Fsk 299).

 \mathcal{P} occurs only once in this saga, in a section that records the death and burial of Óláfr kyrri. The texts of Msk, Fsk, Hkr, and Agrip correspond fairly closely at this point, but the wording shows that Fsk followed Msk, and that Snorri combined the versions of Msk and Agrip:

FJ 296: Óláfr konungr fekk banasótt í Vík austr á Haukstoðum, ok var flutt *norðr í Prándheim* ok jarðaðr at Kristskirkiu.

Agrip: þá sýkðisk hann á bæ þeim, er heitir Haukbær austr á Ranríki, þar sem hann tók veizlu, ok andaðisk þar, ok var líkamr hans fluttr norðr í Niðarós ok var jarðaðr í kirkju þeiri, er hann hafði !átit gera (41).

Fsk: Óláfr konungr tók banasótt, par sem heitir Haukbær, ok var lík hans fært norðr til Niðaróss ok jarðat at Kristskirkiu (302).

Hkr. austr á Ranríki á Haukbæ... Lík Óláfs konungs var flutt norðr til Niðaróss ok jarðat at Kristskirkju, þeiri er hann lét æra. (209).

The three other versions use N in this particular context and, whereas that name in Hkr could have been caused by Snorri's use of Agrip, the author of Fsk most likely changed the name in

keeping with current ecclesiastical practice (cf. the battle of Lyrskovshede, above).

We may conclude, then, that ÆMsk apparently drew on an earlier, written source (or sources) at least for parts of "Oláfs saga kyrra" (the marks on the wall of Mariekirken, the death and burial of Óláfr), but the information about Bergen and the phrase "kaupstaðinn í Niðarósi" were most likely introduced by the author himself.

VI. "Magnúss saga berfætts"

In "Magnúss saga berfœtts," K is used five times as the name of the city of Trondheim. Once the name occurs in a skaldic stanza attributed to Magnús (FJ 334: "enn til Kaupangs kvinna"). That stanza is also found in Fsk (313; = EMsk). The other examples all occur in the opening sections of the saga, in those episodes which record the dealings between Magnús and Hákon in Trondheim and the uprising of Steigar-Pórir. The name N is also used once in these passages. As earlier scholars have observed, there is such a close verbal agreement between the texts of MskMS, Fsk, and Agrip at this point that we must be dealing with a written relationship. What complicates the matter is that the Msk and Agrip versions sometimes differ from that of Fsk, whereas, in other places, the texts of Fsk and Agrip agree against the Msk version (see Indrebø 1917:34, 213-6; Kválen 1925b:287-99; Bjarni Ačalbjarnarson 1937:137-50; Louis-Jensen 1977:92). Consider the following examples of K and N in the different versions:

1. FJ 297: En konungar váru sáttir ok váru lítt í einum stað Deir váru einn vetr báðir í Níðarósi, ok var Magnús um vetrinn í konungsgarði, en Hákon í Skúlagarði niðr frá Clemenskirkju, ok helt Hákon þar jólavist.

Agrip: Ok váru einn vetr báðir ok þann í Niðarósi, ok var Magnús í konungs garði, en Hákon í Skúlagarði niðr frá Klémetskirkju, ok heit svá jólavist (42).

Fsk: Annan vetr ríkis þeira Magnúss ok Hákonar frændanna váru þeir báðir um jól norðr í Kaupangi, var Magnús konungr <í konungsgarði>, en Hákon konungr í Skúlagarði ofan frá Kiémetskirkju (302).

2. FJ 297-8: Magnús konungr helt allan vetrinn .vii. langskipum í einni vok í Kaupangi. Ágrip: fyr því at hann helt allan vetrinn sjau langskipum í opinni vok í Kaupangi (42). Fsk: ok helt allan vetrinn sjau langskipum í opinni vok í Kaupangi (302).

3. FJ 298; ok iét blása liði út á Eyrar, ok sótti allr Kaupangs lýðr til . Ágrip: ok lét blása liði út, ok sótti allr Kaupangrs lýðr til (42). Fsk: ok lét blása liði út, ok sótti allr bæjarlýðr til (303). Hkr: Hann lét blása liðinu út, ok sótti allr Kaupangslýðr til (212).

4. FJ 298; ok helt áðr mót í Kaupangi.
Fsk: ok átti mót í Kaupangi áðr (303); Ágrip: ok helt mót í Kaupangi áðr (42); Hkr. átti áðr mót í býnum (212).

5. FJ 302: Peir Pórir fluttusk þaðan til Kaupangs ok hvorfluðu þar í firðinum. Agrip: þeir fluttusk til Kaupangs, ok hvorfuðu í firðinum þeir Pórir eptir (44). Fsk: En þeir Sveinn ok Pórir fluttusk til Kaupangs ok hvorfuðu þar í firðinum (304). Hkr: En þeir Pórir fóru til Kaupangs ok dvolðusk þar um hríð í firðinum (215).

Space does not permit a discussion of the possible relationship between the different versions, but, although Msk at this point may have been interpolated from Agrip (which seems to be the case in #1), the verbal differences between Agrip and Msk suggest that both versions could have relied on an older written source about Magnus and Hákon.

The place name P occurs four times in this saga. Twice it refers to the district (FJ 297, 299), and once it is used about Trondheimsfjorden (FJ 305). The fourth sentence, which is found in "Sveinka pattr Steinarsson," contains the phrase "komsk norðr til Prándheims" (FJ 310), and it is unclear whether it refers to the city or to the district. P is never used unambiguously as the name of the city of Trondheim.

VII. "Sigurðar saga jórsalafara"

In this saga, Trondheim is known as N or K, and both names occur in the main narrative and in "Pingasaga" ("Ps": the latter occurrences will be dealt with separately). In the main text, K is found three times and N twice:

- 1. FJ 358: ... sem vér brœðr .iii, sætim allir á einum stóli fyrir Kristskirkju norðr í Kaupangi (= FmS VII, 107).
- 2. FJ 364: ok er hann [Óláfr Magnússon] grafinn at Kristkirkju norðr í Kaupangi.

Fsk: f Niðarósi at Kristkirkju (320); Hkr: at Kristskirkju f Niðarósi (257); Ágrip: andaðisk f Kaupangi... var jarðaðr f Kristskirkju (ÍF 29, 47).

 FJ 388: ok var lík hans [Eysteinn Magnússon] flutt norðr til Kaupangs ok jarðat í Kristskirkju (= Hkr 263; lacuna Ágrip).

Fsk: norðr í Niðarós ok jarðat at Kristskirkju (320).

4. FJ 353. Eysteinn konungr lét gera Níkuláskirkju í *Niðarósi.* Hkr. Hann lét ok gera í Niðarósi í konungsgarði Níkoláskirkju (255).

5. FJ 365: ok sat hann [Eysteinn Magnússon] optast í Niðarósi.

In ##1-3 K refers to the city in an ecclesiastical context. The name alliterates with Kristkirken and is modified by the adverb *noror*. Both Snorri and the author of Fsk change K to N in #2, but K is retained in Hkr in #3. Thus K must have been part of ÆMsk, and the information about the kings' last resting places in that version could have been taken from an older written chronicle that recorded the years of the kings' reign and their places of burial (cf. "Catalogus regum Norwagiensium," MHN 44). However, because the same alliterating phrase occurs in the episode about Sigurðr's dream (#1), it is possible that ÆMsk here followed a more extensive written version about Sigurðr and his brothers.

The first occurrence of N is found in a passage that enumerates the accomplishments of Bysteinn Magnússon. The same section is found in Hkr and must have been part of EMsk. The list of accomplishments corresponds closely to those achievements which Bysteinn attributes to himself in the Magnia Fab = 100 between him and Siguror (FJ 382-4; Hkr 259-62), and it could be

that both sections were composed the author of ÆMsk.

In #5, N is used about the city as a royal residence, and the name is coupled with the verb sitja. The sentence occurs in the transition between two narratives, that is, between a shorter chapter (detailing the death of Óláfr (see #2 above), the genealogies of Sigurőr slembidjákn and Ingi Bárðarson, and the division of the country between Eysteinn and Sigurőr) and a long chapter about the bitter legal dispute between Sigurőr and Eysteinn ("ps"; see Storm 1877). The sentence places Eysteinn in Trondheim and sets the stage for the ensuing events that take place in that region in "ps." There can be no doubt that the sentence was introduced into the narrative by the person who included "ps" in the saga of Sigurőr jórsalafari, and, moreover, the wording, as well as the narrative function of the sentence (bridging two episodes), suggests that we are dealing with the same person who was at work in "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" (see ##2, 3, 5 in that saga). The question is whether that person was the author of ÆMsk or a later interpolator.

It is not clear whether "Ps" was part of ÆMsk (for the most recent discussion, see Louis-Jensen 1977:94-108). The "saga" is not included in *Hkr* or *Fsk*, but a comparison of the texts of MskMS and *Hkr* reveals that, although Snorri did not include that chapter in his work, he did use

the Msk version as an outline for his narrative. Consider the following narrative correspondences (FI 364-7: Hkr 257-8):

1. Msk: the mother of Sigurör slembir is introduced; Hkr: the mother of Magnús Siguröarson

is introduced.

2. Msk: the kings are placed in the north and east of Norway ("ok sat hann [Eysteinn] optast i Niðarósi); Hkr: the kings are placed in the north and east of Norway ("på sat Eysteinn konungr lengi um vetrinn i Sarpsborg"; 257).

3. Msk: The location of Eysteinn introduces "Ps"; Hkr: the location of Eysteinn introduces

the events that lead to the birth of Magnús Sigurðarson.

4. Msk: Sigurðr jórsalafari seduces Sigríðr, the sister of Sigurðr Hranason ("ps"); Hkr: Sigurðr jórsalafari seduces Borghildr, the daughter of Óláfr í Dali.

5. Msk: the seduction of Sigrior precipitates legal dispute in "Ps"; Hkr: The seduction of

Borghildr precipitates her legal ordeal.

Thus, Snorri retained the narrative structure of his exemplar while changing the location of the events and the identity of the persons involved. We cannot, therefore, dismiss the possibility that p_s was part of ÆMsk and that the phrase "sitja i Niðarósi" was characteristic of the style of its author.

In "ps" itself, K is used four times to refer to the city (FJ 368, 2369, 372), and N occurs three times (FJ 3662, 374). In the first two instances H-Hr has K and $b \alpha r$ for MskMS's N (FJ 366; FmS VII, 124, 125), and it is not clear whether the changes were made in MskMS or in H-Hr. In the third instance, where all versions agree, N refers to the geographical location (FJ 374: "i bænum i Niðarósi" = FmS VII, 137). Most scholars agree that the Msk version of "ps" derives from a written account (cf. Louis-Jensen 1977:99-105), and the place names in this part of MskMS suggest that that version (or its exemplar) must have been quite old.

P occurs six times, and four of those occurrences are found in "ps" (FJ 365, 368², 375). Once the name is used about Trondheimsfjorden (FJ 368); otherwise it refers to the district of

Trøndelag (FJ 337, 365, 368, 375, 384).

It would appear, then, that at least parts of the EMsk version of "Sigurðar saga jórsalafara" were based on earlier written sources. In some places, however, the use of the names is consistent with later practice, and it could be that the passages that contain the name N were introduced by the EMsk author.

VIII. "Sigurðar saga slembidjákns"

In this part of the narrative, ÆMsk drew on a written source that predated 1172, namely, Eiríkr Oddsson's *Hrygg (Bjarni Guðnason [1978:144] placed the composition of that work as early as 1146-55). Different versions of *Hrygg were also available to the author of Fsk and to Snorri (ibid., 54-5). We should therefore expect that, unless the author of ÆMsk changed the names in keeping with later practice, the names of the city of Trondheim would be K or P, and such is indeed the case. There are no examples of the name N, but K is used twice: "sunnan of Kaupangi" (FJ 426; = Hkr, 312); "í Kaupangi norðr" (FJ 429; Hkr 314: "norðr í Kaupangi"). In addition, Hkr uses K twice where MskMS has P (FJ 415, 425; Hkr 304, 314). It is not clear whether this discrepancy is due to the fact that Hkr and ÆMsk used different versions of *Hrygg, but both names are consistent with 12th-century practice. In a fourth instance, P refers to the bishopric: "er síðan var byskup í Prándheimi norðr" (FJ 434 = Hkr 316). As we have seen, P is used only twice in the previous sagas to refer to the city in this context (the battle of Lyrskovshede in "Haralds saga and Magnúss"), and in "Sigurðar saga" it must reflect the text of *Hrygg.

IX. "Saga af Inga ok bræðrum hans"

The question of exactly how many kings' sagas *Hrygg contained has sparked considerable debate. Most scholars are of the opinion that it either included the sagas of Haraldr gilli, Magnús blindi, and Sigurðr slembir (the years 1130-1139) or contained the sagas of Haraldr, Magnús, and Sigurðr, as well as those of Ingi and his brothers (1130-61; see Hkr lxiv-lxvii). Eivind Kválen (1925a) maintained that *Hrygg encompassed the period from 1130 to 1177 (from Magnús blindi and Haraldr gilli to Magnús Erlingsson), and, more recentiy, Bjarni Guðnason (1978:26-8) attempted to show that *Hrygg was the saga of Sigurðr slembir and dealt only with

the years 1136-1139. The place names that occur in this part of MskMS should, therefore, be of particular interest.

In the saga of Ingi and his brothers, there are no occurrences of the place name N. K, on the other hand, is used five times:

- FJ 439: Óttarr birtingr var drepinn norðr í Kaupangi (= Hkr 322).
- 2. FJ 440; En frændr Óttars ok vinir kenndu ráðit Sigurði konungi, er hann var þá ok í Kaupangi (= Hkr 232).
- FJ 454: ok mælti svá, at erkibyskups stóll skyldi vera í Kaupangi norðr at Kristskirkju, þar er enn helgi Óláfr konungr hvílir.

Hkr.: í Niðarósi at Kristskirkju, þar er Óláfr konungr inn helgi hvílir (332).

- 4. FJ 454: at engi maðr skyldi vápn bera at ósekju í Kaupangi. (Hkr 332-3: "í kaupstoðum").
- 5. FJ 460: En naust þau, er Eysteinn konungr enn eldri hafði gera látit norðr i Kaupangi (= Hkr 342).

We see, then, that Snorri apparently changed the text twice: once when K occurs in an ecclesiastical context (332), and once in connection with the new laws promulgated during the visit of Nicholas Brekespear in 1152-3. Snorri's legal expertise could have prompted the latter change, but it is also possible that K in MskMS is a corruption of an earlier "kaupstoŏum."

P is used six times in "Inga saga" (FJ 440, 446², 454, 459²). Twice the name refers to the district (FJ 440, 459), but in the remaining four examples it appears to refer to the city:

- 1. FJ 446: ok hann orti, ok fœrði norðr í Prándheimi í Kristskirkju sjálfri.
- 2. FJ 446: en þetta var norðr í Prándheimi. Hafði Einarr verit til Nunnusetrs á Bakka.
- FJ 454: at vígja [Jón Bir]gisson til erkibyskups í Prándheimi (= Hkr 332).
- 4. FJ 459: Siðan fór Grégóríús norðr til Drándheims (= Fsk 338; Hkr 342: "norðr til Kaupangs").

In ##1 and 3, P is used about Trondheim in an ecclesiastical context, and in #4, the texts of Hkr and Fsk suggest that EMsk could have had K. ##1-2 occur in anecdotes about the Icelandic poet Einarr Skúlason, and these passages are recorded only in MskMS.

The absence of the name N in this part of Msk suggests that the author relied on an old written source, and the use of the name P about the city in both a secular and an ecclesiastical context is consistent with the use of that name in those parts of EMsk that drew on Eirskr Oddsson's *Hrygg. It is impossible, however, to say whether that work or a work of similar antiquity served as the source of the Msk version.

X. Summary and Conclusion

The discussion above has shown that the change of the name of the city of Trondheim from Kaupangr or Prándheimr to Niŏaross that took place in the 1170's is reflected in the separate sagas in the extant version of Msk. The distribution of the names is not consistent, and frequently it is not in keeping with early 13th-century practice. An Icelander writing around 1220 must have been familiar with the contemporary name of the archbishopric, and he would have used N rather than K or P when referring to Trondheim, especially in an ecclesiastical context. The fact that the older names occur throughout the Msk narrative suggests that the author drew on older written sources. The absence of N in the sections of the narrative that were copied from *Hrygg is particularly striking and shows not only that the author of EMsk himself followed his exemplar faithfully, but also that later scribes were reluctant to change the older wording.

The results of the present investigation thus corroborate those obtained by Seip in his 1930 study. It is clear, however, that most of the occurrences of the name N in MskMS are not later interpolations, as Seip maintained; rather, a comparison with the texts of Fsk and Hkr shows that N must have been part of the vernacular of the 13th-century author of ÆMsk, and that, in most cases, the name was introduced into the narrative by him. That is the case in those passages which contain contemporary information and also in those sections where the author joined two

episodes (e.g., the two pættir of Úlfr enn auðgi and Brandr enn orvi, and the insertion of Ps into

the saga of Siguror jórsalafari).

Thus the question of whether the ÆMsk author used older written sources can be answered in the affirmative. However, the distribution of the names in the individual sagas reveals a much stronger authorial presence in the first two sagas ("Haralds saga ok Magnúss," "Óláfs saga kyrra") than in the sagas from Magnús berfættr to Ingi Haraldsson and his brothers. Furthermore, "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" is not only the longest saga in Msk, but also the saga which contains the most pættir and anecdotes, and in which the author relied most heavily on information from skaldic stanzas. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that there existed separate sagas of Haraldr harðráði and his nephew, Magnús, by 1220, the Msk version of "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" is a repository for Icelandic and Norwegian tradition about the two kings, and I would like to suggest that the person who was responsible for the composition of that saga was the author of ÆMsk.

As far as "Óláfs saga kyrra" is concerned, it seems that the author who provided the information about "Skúli Tóstason" in "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" was responsible for the section about Bergen. The texts of Ágrip, Fsk, and Hkr do indicate, however, that the author ÆMsk could have had access to a written source that recorded Óláfr's death and his place of

burial.

The absence of the name N in the sagas from Magnús berfœttr to Ingi Haraldsson (except in connection with the insertion of Ps) is conspicuous indeed and can be explained in part by the circumstance that the author of EMsk used Eirfkr Oddsson's *Hrygg. However, the evidence suggests that the author of EMsk had access to more extensive written sources for this part of the narrative (sagas of Magnúss berfœttr, Sigurőr jórsalafari, *Hrygg?). Although it is impossible to say whether *Hrygg included the saga of Ingi Haraldsson, the place names used in this saga certainly indicate that Msk drew on a source or sources that predated 1180-90.

The author of ÆMsk, then, knew the city of Trondheim as N. The authorial comments in "Haralds saga ok Magnúss" and in "Oláfs saga kyrra" show that he must have visited the city and that he was familiar with its layout. Because he is particularly interested in the churches, he could have been a cleric, and he proudly flaunted his local knowledge when the opportunity presented itself. It would almost appear as if this Icelander had a special relationship to Trøndelag and to Trondheim, the city he considered to be the most glorious in all of Norway and far superior to

such cities as Oslo, Tønsberg, and Bergen.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Agrip af Nóregskonunga sogum. Ed. Bjarni Einarsson. Íslenzk fornrit, 29. Reykjavík, 1984. Pp. 1-54.

Bjarni Aðalbjarnarson. 1937. Om de norske kongesagaer. NVAOS, 1936, no. 4. Oslo.

Bjarni Guðnason. 1978. Fyrsta sagan. Studia Islandica, 37. Reykjavík.

Fagrskinna-Nóregs konunga tal. Ed. Bjarni Einarsson, Íslenzk fornrit, 29. Reykjavík, 1984. Pp. 55-373.

Flateviarbók, Vol 3, Ed. Guðbrandur Vigfússon and C. R. Unger. Oslo, 1868.

Fornmanna sögur eptir gömlum handritum. útgefnar að tilhlutun hins norræna fornfræða félags. Vols, 6-7. Copenhagen, 1831-2.

Heimskringla. Vol. 3. Ed. Bjarni Aðalbjarnason. Íslenzk fornrit, 28. Reykjavík, 1951.

Indrebø, Gustav. 1917. Fagrskinna. Avhandlinger fra universitetets historiske seminar, 4. Kristiania.

Kválen, Eivind. 1925a. Den eldste norske kongesoga. Oslo.

. 1925b. "Tilhøvet millom Morkinskinna, Fagrskinna, Ágrip og Orkneyinga saga," Edda, 24:285-335.

Louis-Jensen, Jonna. 1977. Kongesagastudier: Kompilationen Hulda-Hrokkinskinna. Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana, 32. Copenhagen.

Morkinskinna. Ed. Finnur Jónsson. SUGNL, 53. Copenhagen, 1928-32.

Seip, Didrik Arup. 1930. Trondhjems bynavn. Trondheim. Storm. Gustav. 1877. Sigurd Ranessøns proces. Kristiania.

Theodrici Monachi Historia de Antiquitate Regum Norwagiensium. In Monumenta Historica Norvegiae: Latinske kildeskrifter til Norges historie i middelalderen. Ed. Gustav Storm.