It is often claimed that Western literature is mainly concerned with the representation of ‘real life’. The people, places and actions represented do not have to be historically factual, but they need to seem so, and the same is expected of the feelings and ideas that flow from them. However, it has also been realised that narratives have always been more than just a reflection of ‘reality’. There is also fantasy, which is associated with imagination and desire. Several theorists have written on fantasies and the fantastic, all of them indebted to Tzvetan Todorov’s influential study: *The Fantastic: A Structural Approach to a Literary Genre* (1973). In this paper I shall mainly refer to Rosemary Jackson (*Fantasy. The Literature of Subversion* 1981) and Kathryn Hume (*Fantasy and Mimesis* 1984). Fantasy can be seen as a deliberate departure from what is generally accepted as real or normal (Jackson, 1981, 1, Hume, 1984, xii). Rosemary Jackson writes that the fantastic has been exiled ‘to the edges of literary culture’, but she has also pointed out that fantasies which move towards the realm of the marvellous are the ones which have been tolerated and widely disseminated socially. The purpose of these fantasies is to transcend an actuality, which is disordered and insufficient by comparison with it, and to support established and orthodox ideas rather than subverting them (Jackson, 1981, 174-5).

Both Rosemary Jackson and Kathryn Hume discuss the different roles fantasies play in different times and societies. Rosemary Jackson compares what she defines as secularized and non-secularized societies:

‘Non-secularized societies hold different beliefs from secular cultures as to what constitutes ‘reality’. Presentations of otherness are imagined and interpreted differently. In what we could call a supernatural economy, otherness is transcendent, marvellously different from the human: the results are religious fantasies of angels, devils, heavens, hells, promised lands ... In a natural, or secular economy, otherness is not located elsewhere: it is read as a projection of merely human fears and desires transforming the world through subjective perception. One economy introduces fiction which can be termed marvellous, whilst the other produces the uncanny or strange.’ (Jackson, 1981, 23-4)

Hume discusses traditional literature and traditional society, which she defines as a society sustained in its values by a common mythology. The mythology tells man how he relates to the rest of the universe. Fantasies within the religious myths of a culture are held to be true and play an important role in defining its principles and values (Hume, 1984, 30). In a traditional religious society, such as medieval societies, religious authority was compelling, and science had not yet become a challenge to its mythology. Although the term ‘mythology’ is usually reserved for non-Christian religions it can be used in the sense of any foundation stories, but ‘in contrast to pre-Christian myths the Christian ones were intimately tied to the new medium of the
Christianity provided rules and rituals, and people were reassured about death by the promise of a future life and salvation which could satisfy the imagination. The physical and moral as well as the social and economic worlds fitted into a unified structure bound together by religion. Fantasy is found in the basic myths; they assert values that cannot be validated scientifically and tell stories that are not verifiable; they can be mythical stories about gods or the heroic deeds of semi-divine beings or heroes. On a more secular level, there are tales of men who fight with marvellous adversaries who are necessary to define them as heroes. Fantasy is used to copy the mythic pattern and reinforce the meaning of the narrative. We find another use of fantasy when angels, the Virgin and saints enter medieval tales; then fantasy is used to uphold morality (Hume, 1984, 171).

Most scholars who have written on fantasies discuss literature from the nineteenth century onwards and/or traditional folktales as it is preserved in literary sources written from the eighteenth century onwards. Rosemary Jackson devotes her research almost entirely to this period, from the point of view of the radical transformation brought on western societies by industrialisation, but she also admits that modern fantasy has its roots in myth, mysticism, folklore, fairytale and romance (Jackson, 1981, 4). Kathryn Hume has brought a different approach and definition into the studies of fantasies. She defines fantasy as ‘any departure from consensus reality, an impulse native to literature and manifested in innumerable variations, from monsters to metaphor’ (Hume, 1984, 20-1). Her definition may appear simple, but it has the advantage of being inclusive and flexible. It can therefore be applied to a wide range of fantastic elements in literature rather than viewing uniform texts to be studied as a separate genre. Consequently her approach includes classical and medieval literature.

Supernatural elements are found in most Íslendingasögur, where they often represent a heathen past (Kieckhefer, 1993, 50-3, Torfi Tulinius, 1999). The fantastic is used as a mode to create suspense in the narrative. Romances follow a given structure but the fantastic is also used there to build up suspense. Anything can happen within the well-known frame. In this paper I am going to concentrate on hagiography and fantasy. Hagiography is filled with the supernatural, but there is a clear distinction between the miraculous, as in miracles, and the magical, which is caused by the evil doings of the devil. Typically, heathens are not aware of this distinction and believe miracles to be magic. In Agnesar saga, St Agnes is thrown into a fire. The fire divides itself miraculously in two parts, leaving Agnes unharmed but burning the people who are standing nearby:

En blómenn sognu þette af fjölkynngi hennar en eigi guðs krapti.
(Heilagra manna sögur, 1877, I 19-20).

Clemens saga shows the superiority of Christendom over heathendom when St Peter the Apostle conquers Simon Magus.

Fantasy is associated with the past and remote places. The fantastic is made more credible by explaining that things were different in the past (see for instance the prologue to Þiðriks saga af Bern. In hagiography, the new religion fights heathen
magic and replaces it with miracles of divine origin, new positive wonders instead of the old negative ones. Hume writes on Christianity and fantasy:

‘The seductive attractions of classical literature included fantastic creatures and deities of an alien faith, so early Fathers of the Church developed a rhetoric of rejection that debarred these fantasies and, by implication, did the same to other fantasies as well. To many earnest Christians, literary fantasy has seemed a species of lie.’ (Hume 1984, 6)

But, as she continues, if fantasy served the cause of morality, it can be valued as ‘true’. Thus the vitae of fictitious saints were held to contain moral truth. The same applies to romances that brought forward moral messages (Sverrir Tómasson, 1989, 247). The prologue of the Strengleikar claims that the fantastic adventures described in the lais contain significant moral messages:

... til ævenlægrar áminningar til skæmtanar ok margfræðes við viðr komande þjóða at hverr bæte ok birte sitt líf ... (Strengleikar, 1979, 4)

Hagiographers modelled their writings on the Bible. The words of the Bible were not questioned however fantastic they may have seemed. Therefore, the virtues and miracles of the saint, when presented within the right framework, were considered the verity of the faith, as written in Guðmundar saga B (the so-called ‘Middle Saga’):

... því at þat vita allir menn at þat er alt satt er sett frá guði ok hans helgum móðrum ... (Biskupa sögur, 1858-78, I 592)

Visionary narratives are one of the most popular and colourful types of medieval literature and art, constantly reminding people of their fears of hell and hope of paradise. The torments of hell are described in much more varied ways than the bliss of heaven, and are based more upon fantasy than theological texts (Gurevich, 1988, 106-9). But fantasy was also needed to describe the more monotone landscape of paradise. In the concluding words of Páls saga postola II, the hagiographer wishes that his audience will be brought to the glory of heaven:

Til þess sama fagnaðar er Páll postoli segir sjálfir í frá, er honum var synyr, ok ekki auga hefði náðvilita dýrð at sjá, ok ekki eyra at heyrat sílkan fagnað, ok hugrinn, er miklu er þó smásmuglar heldr en sýn eða heyrn, mætti eigi hugsa þvilita dýrð. (Postola sögur, 1874, 279)

The force of the mind, or the imagination, is acknowledged to be more powerful than hearing and vision, although not strong enough to be able to imagine and visualize the glory of heaven. Within hagiography, fantasy and imagination are used at their utmost in visionary literature. They challenge the sense of reality in a similar way as dreams do. Visionaries fall into a deep sleep or a coma before they experience their visions, the horrors of hell and the sweetness of heaven. But the imaginary landscapes are all the same a part of a uniform idea of the medieval Christian cosmos. In cosmological didactic literature, such as visions, fantasy is used to bring the message to the audience. The visionary has the important role of telling his contemporaries about his experience when she/he returns, and can even show signs that prove that it really happened. Religious visions were common in literature and probably also in real life, possibly encouraged by difficult social and living conditions. They were projections of the unconscious mind that affected the individual and his audience (Hume, 1984, 171). The visions assure the visionary’s audience that there is order and justice in the otherworld.
The Icelandic Rannveigarleiðsla is less fantastic in its otherworld description than the visionary literature known from translations, but it follows the usual pattern of otherworld visions. In the younger of the two extant versions of the texts, by Abbot Arngrímur Brandsson, material drawn from Duggals leiðsla has been added to the text. Its imaginary other world also has features which are drawn from Icelandic nature: the demons who snatch her soul when she falls asleep drag her across lava fields. When she wakes up, Rannveig must prove that her experience was no imagination, but was real: because demons dragged her to the edge of a lake of boiling pitch, she is splashed with pitch on her hands, legs and back. The burns are visible on her body when she regains consciousness, and they prove that her experience was real. Besides, she used to tremble with fear every time she told about it, a feature that is expressed more strongly in the younger version of the text:

Gengu með þessi vitran, tveir váttar, sá annarr, at hún skalf í hvert sinn, sem hún sagði frá údæmum píslanna. Þat annat, at óllum þeim bruna, sem hún hafði þolt at eins í andar sýninni, bar skýrt vitni hennar dauðlígr líkami. (Biskupa sögur, 1858-78, II 11)

Kathryn Hume has criticised theorists who assume that literature is essentially mimetic and view fantasy as a separable phenomenon, what she calls ‘exclusive definition’ (Fantasy and Mimesis, 1984, 8-20). Todorov viewed the supernatural in literature as either the uncanny and the marvellous (‘étrange’, ‘merveilleux’) in literature such as romances, or fantastic literature as a genre (Todorov, 40-57). Jackson treated fantasy as a mode rather than a genre. Hume finds their approach insufficient. Her inclusive re-definition proposes that literature is the product of both mimesis and fantasy. Fantasy is then the expression of our ‘desire to change givens and alter reality ... or the need for metaphoric images that will bypass the audience’s verbal defences’. (Hume, 1984, 20)

Hume’s suggestions allow the possibility of discussing both mimetic and fantastic elements within a single work. She divides literature into four categories: literature of illusion (invitations to escape reality), literature of vision (introducing new realities), literature of revision (programs for improving reality) and literature of revision (making reality unknowable).

Lives of saints fit Hume’s definition of didactic literature, whose writers assume that they bring the truth, know what is best for their readers, and are justified in pointing out moral examples that should be followed. It is an authoritative literature where the writer knows what is good for the reader. Didactic literature focuses on the nature of man and the nature of the universe. When concerned with the nature of man it lays down rules of proper behaviour and explains why one action is good while another is bad (Hume, 1984, 102-3). Stories that deal with the divine transcend the ordinary. The saint is exalted for the audience’s edification. Although he did exist as a human being before his death, he is an imaginary ideal in the hagiographic text. Didactic literature confirms the absolute: the saint is too good to be true and therefore a kind of fantasy (Hume, 1984, 56).

The lives of the Icelandic confessor bishops are not mimetic literature, but biographies of men who had really existed in surroundings familiar to their original audience. And yet these seemingly realistic narratives contain some material which
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departs from the norms that we call reality. In the preface to the first edition of the biskupasögur corpus, it is obvious that the editors value the sagas mainly as historical documents:

Nú kann sumum að sýnast sem þessum, er lítil þörf sé að kunna eða leída fyrir sjónir, svo sem jarteinnar og yms hindriviti og trúarvilla, en til þess má svara því, að fyrir sjónir, en til þess má svara því, að þess verðr getið sem gjört er; sá sem vill heita fródr, verðr að þekka bæði illt og gott. Grasafraðinginn les sér blóm og aldini ekki stór úr grýtri jörð og hrjóstrugri, en úr blómabeðunum. Líkt verðr að gjöra, sem sagnafróðr vill vera. (Biskupa spgur, 1858-78, vii)

You have to take the bad with the good, but the miraculous and supernatural element is secondary to the ‘historical’ material in the editors’ eyes. Similar ideas are reflected in the approaches to religious literature by those twentieth century scholars who have made too sharp a division between hagiography and historiography. This attitude also tended to marginalise hagiography because it was foreign. Lars Boe Mortensen also warns against what he calls one-sidedness in dealing with these texts. In a recent study he discards the traditional division between hagiography and historiography and writes:

`... we should also take a closer look at the more immediate circumstances, literary as well as institutional, and at the input from local religious concerns, and not simply put everything down to the influence of literary forms and ideas that were developed in late antiquity or to an ecclesiastical agenda pushed through by the “Church”’ (Mortensen, 2006, 8-9, 250).

Weinstein and Bell (Saints and Society, 1982, 143-4) have argued that the criteria that defined a saint in the popular mind were mainly concerned with evidence of supernatural power. Although miracles do depart from reality, they were not regarded as ‘fantasy’ (i.e. deliberate play of the imagination), but as an occasional part of literal experience in the ‘real world’. A miraculous account was accepted as credible, probable and even ordinary (McCready, 1989, 206).

Although the early Church fathers rejected the fantasies found in classical literature and even regarded literary fiction as a form of lying, fantasy was sometimes seen as acceptable in the miracles included in saints’ lives. For example, the early-eighth-century Whitby Life of Gregory the Great explicitly acknowledges that some miracles described in it may be symbolically illustrative of the qualities of the saint rather than historically true events (ch. 30, trans. Charles Jones in Saints’ Lives and Chronicles in Early England, 1968, 118-9).1

Miracles were thought by their original beneficiaries, audiences and writers to have occurred, but they were often acknowledged to be real only in a special fashion: they only enter the lives of the spirituality or heroically elect; they are miracula, things to be marvelled at. Only saints could execute them as God’s intercessors. The Icelandic miracles have numerous examples that show that miracles were regarded by their beneficiaries as surprising and wondrous:

---

1 I thank John McKinnell for drawing my attention to this text.
En er hann var kominn nær heim at túní, þá flaut þar skipit heilt við bakka
ok ósakat ok þar í þæðri árak ok auskter ok allt þat er þar hafði laust í verit,
ok hafði þat jammt farit í gegn hvossu veðri, ok þótti sú jartein mikils verð
óllum sem vissu, ok lofuðu Guð ok enn sæla Þorlák byskup. (Biskupa sögur II, 2002, 111)

Miracles are said to be unexpected (at hveru frá glikendum, Biskupa sögur II,
2002, 126) and against nature (i gegn œðli). Two stories tell of people who fight seals,
a woman and a poor man:

ok sjá var jartein mjök í gegn œðli, at östyrk kona skyldi geig góra mega
svá miklum sel. (Biskupa sögur II, 2002, 107)

En er enn fátreki maðr fann þat ok skilði at svá máttingr kraptr var kominn
til fúlttings við hann at selrinn máttí eigi œðli sínu halda, þá laust hann
selinn í svíma et fyrsta högg, ok gekk hann síðan at dauðum selnum, ok
varð hyski hans segi þessi veðri ok geig þakkr Guði ok sælum Þorláki
byskupi. (Biskupa sögur II, 2002, 122)

Any unexpected recovery from an illness or accident could be seen as
miraculous. Credible post mortem miracles were the essential test of an individual’s
sanctity, and the texts often show the truth of them being tested by the authorities,
when beneficiaries are asked to prove that they have taken place:

Hét hann þa á inn sæla Þorlák byskup at gefa vi aura vádmáls í Skálaholt
at hann veitti honum miskunn. Fóru þau síðan leðar sinnar sem þau höfðu
atlat, ok þrim nóttum síðar varð var þar leyst bönd af andlitri Orms, ok var svá
gróit at trautt máttí á sjá at sárt hefði verit. Hann fierði heit sitt Páli
byskupi ok sagði greiniliga þenna atburð, en hann lét rita síðan. (Biskupa
sögur II, 2002, 231)

Although so much emphasis is laid upon the truth and verification of miracles, people
did have their doubts and even expressed them, but were usually punished or shown
that they were wrong.

In didactic literature, fantasy is used to keep readers attentive and interested,
and to move and exalt them (Hume, 1984, 102-23). In the epilogue of St Þorlákr’s
Second Book of Miracles, it is claimed that new miracle stories serve a similar
purpose, to remind people of the power of their saint and to encourage them to love
him:

Ok er þat var at svá mikill fjöldi gjörðisk at um jarteinir ins sæla Þorláks
byskups at mænum varð um afi í minni at hafa, en þær váru margar at
hver var annarri lík, þá dofnái hugr manna ok mæddusk málgögnin til
uppbúðarins, en eyðisk mikl eptir at rita, þá sýndi Guð svá sína
jafnlyndi til ins sæla Þorláks byskups at því viðara urðu menn gladdir
með hans jarteinum sem þeim fyrðisk meir er nærr víru heimsvistum.
Tök þá a nýjan leik þat at æsa ást manna til ins sæla Þorláks byskups ok
hvetja vanþynda hugi manna til ívatleiks hollstu, þeirrar allrar er menn
megg til hans gjöra at kom af öðrum löndum ogrynni auðæfa með
fjarlægri frásögn margra merkligra atburða hans jarteina.

Kathryn Hume emphasizes that ‘fantasy and mimesis together are equally
important impulses, and their interaction must be studied if we are to progress in our
understanding of literature' (Hume, 1984, 25). Her conclusion corresponds to modern criticism of the *biskupasögur*, when they are read as a unity consisting of an idealised biography and miraculous events, a reading contrary to the interpretation of the nineteenth-century editors who separated the text into ‘facts’ and ‘superstition’. In didactic literature, fantasy is an important device to bring a message to the audience in a supposedly comforting way. Even the terrifying visionary literature brings comfort by assuring the audience that there is justice and hope for the faithful. The saint represented an ideal personality not easily obtained, but he was in close contact with the people through his miracles. The miracles may have reflected peoples’ fantasies about a better life. The Icelandic miracles show a desire for health, enough food and security. In spite of some scepticism, it is more likely that people wanted to be assured that miracles really happened, that although they were incredible, they were a fantastic reality.
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