Land-spirits and Iceland's Fantastic Pre-conversion Landscape

Jamie Cochrane

The Icelandic coat-of-arms depicts a shield emblazoned with the country's blue, white and red flag resting on a piece of lava. Supporting the shield are four creatures, a bull, a vulture, a winged dragon and a giant carrying a staff. This coat of arms was designed in 1944 as Iceland became once more a republic, but was based on an earlier design made in 1919 to celebrate the formation of a separate Icelandic state (the obvious differences between the two designs is the introduction of the lava on which the figures stand in the later design and the removal of the Danish crown from the centre top position where it stood in the earlier crest). These four creatures, the bull, the bird, the dragon and the giant are based on a story in Oláfis saga Tryggvasonar in Heimskringla (Snorri Sturluson 1941, ch. 33, p. 271) that tells how King Haraldr Gormsson of Denmark pays a wizard to go to Iceland to spy. The wizard transforms himself into a whale, but finds himself contending with a much more powerful mystical force. He is forced back by a series of frightful land-spirits, or landvættir as they are called in Snorri's account, in the form of a dragon (dreki), a bird, a bull and a hill giant (bergrisr). It seems that faced with a hostile intruder the very land itself rises up in defence of its people. My paper looks at a number of supernatural creatures, spirits and sprites who create a bond between the land and the earliest Icelanders living there. For the first settlers the Icelandic landscape, with its lava, hot-springs, waterfalls, glaciers and areas of hostile interior must have seemed unfamiliar, even supernatural. This fantastic landscape manifests itself in saga literature as beings, as if pre-settlement Iceland is already inhabited (in addition to the papar) by creatures living in hills, rocks and waterfalls. In many cases the success of early Icelandic farms was due in no small part to the land-spirits' acceptance of the new inhabitants. Scholarship on the land-spirits has concentrated on Snorri's account, suggesting links between the four beings that the wizard encounters and symbolic representations of the four apostles. I am going to look at some of the other anecdotes from the sagas and Landnámabók which I believe shed some light on the tradition which the Heimskringla episode adopts and adapts, suggesting a tradition which flourished from the days of the settlement through well into the Christian period, finding its most complex incarnation in Snorri's account.

I would like to start, however, not in Iceland but in Norway and Orkney. Orkneyinga saga tells of how Earl Rǫgnvaldr Eysteinsson, with King Haraldr's approval, gives the earldom of Orkney and Shetland to his brother Sigurðr. Sigurðr does not enjoy his prize long as he has the misfortune to die from a wound caused

---

1 I use the term 'land-spirits' as a catch-all to refer to the landvættir, landlísir and other supernatural beings not given a specific designation. On the relationship between the landvættir, the four apostles and the cherubs in Ezekiel see Sveinsson (1976, 122-123); and Turville-Petre (1964, 232-233). In contrast Briem (1985, 81-83) stresses the similarity to fetches. In an interesting paper given at the previous saga conference, Tatjana Jackson and Alexander Podossinov (2004) draw parallels between the four creatures, the four compass points and the three spheres (i.e. air, land and sea). Also see Turville-Petre (1963) and Solheim (1981).
when his beheaded enemy’s tooth snags his thigh. When Sigurðr’s son Guttormr dies childless and an attempt to restore order by Rógvaldr’s son Hallaðr ends in ignominy it seems control of the islands may be lost to the family. Rógvaldr calls his sons to him and asks which of them is willing to go west and restore order in Orkney. Pórir, his legitimate son, volunteers, whereupon a bastard son Hrollaugr asks his father what course of action seems best for him to follow. Rógvaldr replies somewhat enigmatically (Orkneyinga saga 1965, ch. 6, p. 10):

‘Éigi mun þér jarðdóms augði, ok liggja fylgjur þínar til Íslands, þar muntu
súka sét þína ok mun gofug verða í því landi.’

‘It’s not your lot to get the earldom, your fate belongs to Iceland, there
you will raise a great family and become famous in that land.’

Rógvaldr’s prediction proves true as Hrollaugr settles a large area in the East of Iceland and among his descendents are Síðu-Hallr (one of the pre-eminent chieftains of tenth century Iceland and a key figure in the Conversion of the country to Christianity), Jón Ógmundarson first Bishop of Hólar (1106-1121) and Magnúss Binarsson, Bishop of Skálholt (1134-1148). The phrase liggja fylgjur þínar til Íslands, here paraphrased as ‘your fate belongs to Iceland’, has a more complex and anachronistic meaning. Fylgjur (singular fylgja) were guardian spirits associated either with individuals or family groups. These spirits sometimes seem to have taken female form (see for example Halfreðar saga 1939, ch. 11, p. 198), though the word is also used of fetches in animal form (e.g. Brennu-Njáls saga 1954, ch. 23, pp. 64-65). The phrase taken literally might mean would seem to mean ‘your spirits belong to Iceland’. Turville-Petre (1972, 52), objects to this translation as too vague or curious, suggesting that the author of Orkneyinga saga here uses fylgjur in an abstract sense to mean ‘destiny’ or ‘fortune’ (in a similar way that hamingja can refer to both ‘luck’ in an abstract sense but also ‘a guardian spirit’ more specifically). Undoubtedly the general sense of Rógvaldr’s words is to tell his son that his future lies in Iceland rather than Orkney. Nonetheless, we must note that (like so many saga characters) Rógvaldr is making a supernatural prophecy. It seems in this case, that Hrollaugr’s future is preordained and little he might choose to do will affect this. Given that the prophecy is of a supernatural nature, I believe (despite Turville-Petre’s objections) that Rógvaldr’s words have an underlying implication that Hrollaugr’s guardian spirits have associated themselves with Iceland, as if some irresistible force were impelling Hrollaugr there. Even if Hrollaugr could defy his guardians, why should he try to? To do so would leave him vulnerable and unlikely to succeed. It would be wrong to equate fylgjur with land-spirits, but Rógvaldr’s speech links Hrollaugr’s guardians with Iceland and in turn with the future success of his family.

Choosing the location of one’s farmstead in Iceland was a careful process and Landnámabók records a number of stories about settlers choosing their land. In one case a horse actually selects the location. Grímur Ingjaldsson, a recent settler from
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2 On female fylgjur see Rieber (1898, 277-290); de Vries (1956-1957, I, §163, 226-227); Ström (1956-1978, 38-39); Turville-Petre (1964, 227-230); and Else Mundal (1974, 72-142; and 1993, 624-625).

3 One method seems to have been by throwing the pillars of the high-seat from their former home overboard and settling at the location at which they are eventually found (see for example Landnámabók 1986, I, 42-45 and 124-125).
Norway, is fishing one day when he catches a marmennill ('sea-goblin' or 'sea- 
manikin')4 (Landnámabók 1986, I, 96-98). Grímr asks the sea-goblin to tell his future 
suggesting a tradition by which encounters with such creatures could be used for 
ascertain the future5). The sea-goblin's words are slightly different in each of the two 
main manuscripts for the passage, however, in both he indicates that Grímr's future 
does not look promising, but his son currently residing in a seal-skin in the boat's prow 
will settle at that place where Skál, Grímr's mare, lies down under her load. The 
goblin's words prove true when Grímr and his men are lost at sea (Hauksbók merely 
indicates he dies). His widow and son Þórir move to the west of Iceland and when they 
arrive in Borgarfjörður the horse Skál lies down near the smaller of two sand-hills. 
Þórir settles this land and eventually becomes a prominent chieftain.

One could scarcely describe either the sea-goblin or the horse as land-spirits. 
The former is certainly supernatural but clearly not related to land in general or Iceland 
in particular and there is no real indication that the horse Skál is a particularly 
magical beast. Nonetheless the story is relevant for our discussion here. It makes a 
connection between a supernatural prophecy, a settler, his livestock and his subsequent 
success both as a farmer and chieftain and the physical geography of Iceland itself. 
Although Skál is not portrayed as being a supernatural horse, the sea-goblin's 
prophecy endows the horse's chance decision to pause near the sand-hills with a 
supernatural air. The prophecy together with Þórir's death and the horse's decision to 
pause at a certain point turn co-incidence into the miraculous. The story has the 
function of giving Þórir and his descendants an unassailable right to hold land and 
power in Borgarfjörður as if the very land were welcoming him. Grímr's role in the 
story is that of comparison. Although it is he who decides to move the family to 
Iceland, it will not fall to Grímr's lot to be successful there, unlike his son who is 
immediately accepted into the supernatural and natural landscape.

Hallfreðr, the father of the eponymous hero of Hrafnkels saga Freysgoða, 
aalmost has as short a stay in Iceland as Grímr, but his demise is averted by the 
intervention of a land-spirit. Hallfreðr moves to Iceland during the time of King 
Haraldr hárfagrí and settles in Fljótsdalr, but later decides to move his farmstead on 
the advice of a mysterious dream-man (Hrafnkels saga Freysgoða 1950 ch. 1, p. 97- 
98):

En um várit færði Hallfreðr bú sitt norrøn yfir heiði ok gerði bú þar, sem 
heitir í Geitdal. Ók eina nót dreymði hann, at maðr kom at honum ok 
mæli: ‘Par liggr þú, Hallfreðr, ok heitdr óvarliga. Fær þu á brott bú þitt 
ok vestr yfir Lagarfljót. Þar er heill þin òll.’ Þeir þat vaknar hann ok 
færir bú sitt út yfir Rangá í Tungu, þar sem síðan heitir á 
Hallfreðardarthóum, ok bjó þar til eili. En honum verð þar eptir gólfr ok 
hafr. Ók inn sama dag, sem Hallfreðr var í brott, hljóp skríða á húsin, ok 
týndusk þar þessir gripir, ok því heitir þat síðan í Geitdal.

4 Sturlubók reads marmennill, whereas Hauksbók has margmelli, a corresponding later 
form is also marmindill.

5 Evidence that the marmennill had second sight can also be found in the fantastic Hálfs 
saga ok Hálfrekka (1944, ch. 7, p. 164-166) and such stories persist into folklore (see Jón 
Arason 1954, I, 125-128).
'During the spring Hallfreðr moved his farm north, across the heath and made a farm at that place which is named Geitdair. And one night he dreamed, that a man came to him and spoke: "You lie there, Hallfreðr, somewhat unwarily. Move your farm away, west over Lagarfljót. All your luck is there." After that he woke up and moved his farm over Rangá to Tunga, to that place which was later named Hallfreðarsstaðir, and lived there until he was old. But a boar and a buck-goat were left behind by him and that same day which Hallfreðr left a landslide fell onto the buildings and these assets were lost and that place was later named Geitdair.'

The same story is told in Landnámabók, though some details differ (Landnámabók 1986, II, 299):

Hrafnkell hét maðr Hrafnsson; hann kom út síð landnámablóðar. Hann var enn fyrsta vetr í Breiðadal, en um varit fór hann upp um fjall. Hann ádi í Skriðudal ok sofnæði; þá dreymði hann, at maðr kom at honum ok bað hann upp standa ok fara braut sem skjótast; hann vaknaði ok for brutt. En er hann var skammt kominn, þá hljóp ofan fjallit allt, ok varð úndir goltr ok gríðungr, er hann átti. Síðan nam Hrafnkell Hrafnkelsdal ok bjó á Steinröðarstöðum.

'There was a man named Hrafnkell Hrafnsson. He came to Iceland late in the settlement age. He spent the first winter in Breiðadalr and during the spring went up a mountain. He rested in Skriðudalr and slept. Then he dreamed that a man came to him and told him to stand up and go away as quickly as possible. He woke up and went away. And when he had gone a short way, then the whole mountain fell down and a boar and bull, which he owned, were buried. Then Hrafnkell settled Hrafnkelsdalr and lived at Steinröðarstaðir.'

The same story also occurs in Brandkrossa þátr (1950, ch. 1, p. 183), though this probably stems directly from the Landnámabók account and therefore has no independent value. The historical value of Hrafnkels saga in comparison to the Landnámabók account of Hrafnkell’s ancestors, and the dream-warning of the landslide in particular, has been discussed by scholars (see for example Nordan 1958, 7-26; Hofmann 1976, 19-36). For my part, I believe Landnámabók and Hrafnkels saga to stem separately from the same oral story. The similarity between the names and proximity of the locations make it unlikely that the stories are unrelated, but the differences are too great for scribal error or a badly remembered written account. The explanation of the name Geitdair in Hrafnkels saga, regardless of whether it contains any truth or not, has the feel of a locally preserved oral legend (the Landnámabók scribe might have made a similar claim for the name Skriðudair, though he does not do so). Elsewhere, however, the Hrafnkels saga episode has a more literary feel and exhibits a tendency towards dramatisation rather than the strict preservation of the facts. Whereas in Landnámabók the dream-man’s words are reported summarily, in Hrafnkels saga they are given in direct speech. In so doing the author unwittingly betrays influence from written texts. For example a close parallel to the phrase Har liggj þú, Halfræðr, ok heldr óvarliga is found in a dream in Ólafs saga Tryggvasonar en mesta in which a man named Gautr is told of the location of a boat that will help in
crossing a river (Óláf's saga Tryggvasonar en mesta II 1961, ch. 283, p. 341). (it should be noted, however, that the words seem slightly out of context in Óláf's saga and a common source may influence both this text and Hrafnkels saga). It seems that, although this motif may have some origin in a pre-conversion oral tale, its telling, particularly in the Hrafnkels saga version has been influenced by the motif in which a dreamer is given instruction or advice from a saint or divine being (examples in the sagas of Icelanders include Hallfréðr Vandræðaskáld's dream of King Óláfr, Hallfréðar saga ch. 9, p. 178; and Kolskegg's dream of the shining man, Brennu-Njáls saga 1954 ch. 81, p. 197). Hermann Pálsson demonstrated the similarity between Hallfréðr/Hrafnkell's dream and Joshua's dream in the Bible in which God appears to him and promises to the people of Israel all the land 'From the desert and the Lebanon to the great river, the river Euphrates, and across all the Hittite country westwards to the Great Sea ...' (Joshua 1:4). Regardless of whether Hallfréðr/Hrafnkell's dream is directly inspired by the Biblical story, it clearly represents a similar story type and therefore one can assume has been told with a similar underlying motive, to demonstrate the rights of a particular group to have dominion over an area of land. Perhaps the key fact is the apparently incidental mention in Landnámabók that Hrafnkell Hrafnsson arrives in the district late in the settlement age. This detail may account for the existence of the whole story itself. In any dispute over land Hallfréðr/Hrafnkell and his descendants may have found themselves disadvantaged by the fact that they were not among the first settlers in the district. To counteract this a story evolved, perhaps spread by Hallfréðr/Hrafnkell himself, perhaps by his descendants, which showed that the family had an innate right over the area which they had been allotted by a mysterious land-spirit. We can note the similarity in sense between þar er heil þin afl (the exact translation is problematic but the general meaning must be 'all your good luck is there') of Hrafnkels saga and týgja fylgjar þínir til Íslands in Orkneyinga saga. As with Hrollaugr, Hallfréðr's fortunes are particularly associated with a geographic area, in this case specifically the other side of Lagarfljót, rather than Iceland in general. By extension, if Hallfréðr's fortunes belonged to the land, so must the land surely belong to him.

The relationship between Icelander and land-spirit was a reciprocal one as demonstrated by the following example from Landnámabók. Björn Molda-Gnúpsson and his brothers Þórarinn and Þórir live on one of the poorer settlements. One night Björn has a dream which changes his fortunes (Landnámabók 1986, II, 330).6

Björn dreymði um nútt, at bergbúi koemi at honum ok bauð at gera félag við hann, er hann þóttisk játa því. Eptir þat kom hafri til geita hans, ok tímpaðið þe svá skjött fíe hans, at hann varð skjött vellauðgr; síðan var hann Hafr-Björn kallaðr. Pat sá öfreskrir menn, at landvetti allar fylgðu Hafr-Birni til þings, en þeim Þórsténi ok Þórici til veiða ok fiskjar.

'Björn dreamed one night that a rock-dweller came to him and invited him to go into partnership with him and he thought that he agreed to this. After that a ram came to his goats and then his flock multiplied so quickly that he quickly became rich, then he was called Hafr-Björn. Men with

6 Here I have followed Sturlubók (ch. 329); Haukshól (ch. 284, Landnámabók 1986, II, 331) is slightly different.
second sight saw that all the land-spirits accompanied Hafr-Björn to the assembly and accompanied Þorsteinn and Þórarin hunting and fishing."

The *bergbúi* of Björn's dream clearly resembles the *bergrist* in the *Heimskringla* episode. This spirit is not specifically attached to Björn, but instead seems to be linked to the land. To gain the assistance of the land-spirit, Björn has to agree to go into partnership with him (the word *félög* more familiar from the context of voyagers entering into agreements to share the expenses and profits of trading). Although the text does not specifically state that the *bergbúi* and the ram that increases the flock so dramatically are one and the same, the reader assumes this to be case. This demonstrates a further association that the land-spirits undoubtedly had, which is a link with livestock. Not only can the *bergbúi* change his shape to appear as an animal, he can actually become an animal capable of fertilising the nanny goats, furthermore a ram that is more successful in reproducing than one might expect a normal ram to be (this brings to mind the incident in *Snorra Edda* where Loki transforms into a horse and eventually gives birth to the eight legged steed Sleipnir, Sturluson 1988, 34-36).

The passage therefore links the Icelander Björn, to the spirit, to the land and in turn to the livestock. This association is made complete by the nickname we are told he acquires – Haft-Björn or Ram-Björn. This blurs the distinction between Björn and his guardian land-spirit, almost as if it were Björn rather than the land-spirit who has been copulating with his livestock and producing such a successful return. The passage concludes with the observation that land-spirits can be seen accompanying Björn to the assembly, implying that Björn's deal with the *bergbúi* has granted him support from a number of other land-spirits as well. Furthermore his brothers seem to share in his new-found success too. It is not quite clear from the passage what Björn's part of the partnership is, though it seems likely to be some form of sacrifice. *Landnámabók* also tells of a man named Þorsteinn rauðnefr who made sacrifices to a particular waterfall to ensure the success of his flock (*Landnámabók* 1986, 358) and one can see the importance of appropriate and timely sacrifices from the account of the very earliest settlers Ingoifr Arnarson and his brother-in-law Hjörleifr Hróðmarsson. Ingoifr is said to carry out many sacrifices (though exactly to what or whom is not made explicit), in contrast to which Hjörleifr never sacrifices. When Hjörleifr is betrayed and killed by his own slaves, Ingoifr implies that it is his unwillingness to sacrifice that has led to his downfall (*Landnámabók* 1986, II, 44). Furthermore Hjörleifr's death has an ongoing implication for the land he has chosen to settle as no-one dares settle there for fear of the land-spirits, whether this be anger at Hjörleifr's godless ways or at his killing (*Landnámabók* 1986, II, 333).

The relationship between the saga farmer, his livestock and a mysterious spirit that I would suggest is similar, perhaps even identical, to the land-spirits is illustrated in an episode in *Laxdæla saga* (*Laxdæla saga* 1934, ch. 31, p. 84):

> Óláfr pái átti marga kostgrípi í ganganda fæ. Hann átti uxa góðan, er Harri hét, apalgrár at lit, meiri en önnur naut. Hann hafði fjögur horn; várí tvau mikil ok stóðu fagr, í þriðja stóð í loft upp, í fjörða stóð ör enni ok nið fyrir augu honum; þat var brunnvaka hans; hann krapaði sem hross. Einn felliveit mikinn gekk hann ör Hjarðarholtt ok þangat, sem nú heita Harrastaðir, í Breiðafjarðardali; þar gekk hann um vetrinn með sextán
nautum ok kom þeim ðllum á gras; um várit gækk hann heim í haga, þar sem heitir Harraból í Hjarðarholtslandi.

Óláfr pái had many valuable animals among his livestock. He had a good ox who was named Harri, dapple-grey in colour and larger than other cattle. He had four horns. Two were large and well presented, the third stood aloft and the fourth stood from his forehead to down below his eyes. That was his Ice-scraper. He scraped away snow like the horses. One particularly hard winter when many cattle died he left Hjarðarholt and went to that place now called Harrastaðir in Breiðafjarðarsháls. He wintered there with sixteen cattle and found grass for all of them. During spring he went home to the pasture, arriving at that place which is called Harraból in Hjarðarholtsland.

Óláfr, however, does not realise the full value of this treasure and when Harri’s Ice-scraper falls off he has Harri slaughtered like any other beast. Soon after a mysterious woman appears to Óláfr in a dream berating him for mutilating and killing her ‘son’ and threatening or perhaps prophesying the death of Óláfr’s son, at þér er þóflasstr (‘that one which is to you the most difficult to part with’). This prophecy anticipates the death of Kjartan Óláfrsson, the central tragic episode in Laxdæla saga.

Harri’s magnificent and monstrous appearance sets him aside from the other cattle and suggests a supernatural beast. It, perhaps intentionally, calls to mind Daniel’s dream of the ram and the he-goat (Daniel 8:1-14). Harri’s name implies power and authority, as it is used as a heiti for generals or kings in skaldic verse (Egilsson 1931, 230-231; Sturluson 1998, I, 100). The episode in which Harri finds food and water for his fellow cattle all winter (one imagines by using his brunnvaka) suggests a role as a guardian spirit watching over the other livestock. The short text in Óláfs saga Tryggvasonar en mesta referred to as Af þiðrande ok disunum makes mention of a bull called Spámaðr (‘Prophet’), which could be seen as evidence that prophetic properties were occasionally attached to farm animals and that certain animals were seen as guardian spirits (Af þiðrande ok disunum 2003, 122). The fact that the ox’s mother appears in human form in Óláfr’s dream suggests the shape-changing motif found in the Haf-Björn episode in Landnámabók. One cannot deny that the mention of Harri and his mother is a carefully constructed literary and artistic episode within Laxdæla saga. Nonetheless, it seems quite plausible that the story of the monstrous ox, his protectorship of his herd and his vengeful mother owe their origins to oral narratives. Such a narrative might have originally explained the unusual place-names Harrastaðir and Harraból. It may also have been used to explain the success of a household in the face of harsh winters and adversity and perhaps even a sudden calamity that befell that household (though not necessarily specifically the death of Kjartan Óláfrsson). This oral narrative was later skilfully adjusted and adapted by the Laxdæla saga author to his own literary ends. The Laxdæla saga episode emphasises the idea that the partnership between the Icelandic farmer and the land-spirits needed to be exactly that, a partnership. Unlike Haf-Björn who makes a deal with his land-spirit, Óláfr does not seem to be aware of the ox’s special nature and he

---

7 It is one of a series of omen’s pointing forward to Kjartan’s death without specifically mentioning it and it’s positioning within the saga (directly before the introduction of Guðrún Ösvifísdóttir) highlights the forthcoming tragedy and also suggests the inadvertent cause of it.
has him killed. This breaks the partnership, and what had been a positive relationship immediately becomes a negative one. Thus the connection between the early Icelandic farmer, the land, the livestock and the land-spirits was a tenuous one that needed to be carefully preserved.

One might have expected traditions and stories involving the land-spirits to dwindle with the official conversion of Iceland to Christianity in 999 or 1000. Indeed *Af Póðrandi ok disnum* (2003, 125) tells how shortly before the conversion the elderly mystic Þórhallr wakes one morning with a smile on his face and explains to his friend Söluj-Hallr Þorsteinsson, that he has dreamt that the hills opened up and creatures of all different sizes left. The implication seems to be that Christianity makes the land-spirits redundant and drives them from the land entirely. Yet stories of the land-spirits proved just too tempting, too useful not to be related, adapted and as in the case of the Heimskringla episode quite probably invented in the Christian era. Although I have had little time to comment directly on the episode in Heimskringla, which is so often associated with land-spirits, I believe that Snorri knew stories and traditions such as those I have mentioned and that these formed at least part of the inspiration for the episode. Each of these anecdotes has linked the early Icelanders, their livestock, their wealth, to the land and the land-spirits. One might divide the protagonists of these tales into two groups; those such as Háft-Björn, Hallfreð/Hrafnekk and Sól-Bórir who are successful within their new land and have been adopted by the land-spirits, and those such as Þórir’s father, Hjörleifr and Óláfr pái who seem destined to fail (the last being something of an exception in that he is initially accepted, but accidentally loses the protectorship of his guardian). The acceptance of these select few by the land-spirits represents their innate right and rulership over their lands. Furthermore it is the land-spirits who allow the Icelanders to make a success of their brave endeavour to scrape a subsistence in a land which at first must have been alien and hostile and therefore the land-spirits who represent freedom from Norwegian and indeed any foreign rule. I suspect families and affines of the original protagonists may have had vested interests in telling stories similar to Háft-Björn’s or Hallfreð/Hrafnekk’s encounters with land-spirits, nonetheless the stories support the independence of all Icelanders. I do not deny the possibility that the story of the land-spirits defending the country against the hostile intentions of King Haraldr Gormsson and his wizard may have been influenced by pictorial Christian images of the four apostles, but I believe the real meaning or message behind Snorri’s story is one of more universal appeal, that is to show the independence of a people and their right over their land, and it is for this reason that the land-spirits prove such an apt choice to bear the shield in the coat of arms.
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